

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA
Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	iPoly DM		
	Claire Dix		
	Joseph Mirabal		

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	VMHS GS		
	Alessandra Garcia		
	Sampson Smith		

Team Dropped please tell VMHS they
The better debating was done by the _____ from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

have a bre

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa MF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Tia Moore	26	
2	Tiffany Fors	28	

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta FV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Filippo Falchi	26	
2	Ivonne Verduzco	26	

The better debating was done by the Aff from Yucaipa MF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kalyn Taylor

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Overall Thank you for a great debate!

- Read the motion: it says immigrants currently living
- Give more information in your constructive rather than cross fire.

Affirmation

Negation

1st speaker: Good job. Work on fleshing out your points. Careful of one liners. Good statistics. ~~Give state on~~

1st speaker: Good job. Terrorist argument didn't fit the motion. More statistics, please.

2nd speaker: Good job. Great rebuttal. Didn't get to much on Hypocrisy ~~and the~~ point. Careful w/ being too aggressive.

2nd speaker: Good job, good rebuttal. Need more facts to prove safety point. ~~too~~ Flesh out your point and tell me why you win.

Reasoning: The affirmation gave more reasoning as to how this is beneficial. Aff's points about boosting economy (w/facts!) and creating a just economy went largely unrefuted. When attempted, the rebuttal did not fit. ~~too~~ ~~Nea's~~ point on resources was good, however was not

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa EF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brooke Edwards	24	1
1	Gina Fuller	25	2

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS CP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Anecia Collett	24	3
2	Jason Pham	24	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Yucaipa EF
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christina Gamez

COMMENTS AND REASON FOR DECISION:

Brooke was excellent but would like to suggest to watch tapping or movement of feet

Gina gave good info + definitions to all parts of ?

Anecia had good points but very brief. A lot of time left over + read a lot not much eye contact

Jason had good facts + encourage him to speak more

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS RP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Micaela Reichenback	29	1
	Nandeeni Patel	27	

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta WS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Lindsey Wurtz	27	
	Shannon Slankard	27	

The better debating was done by the Aff from VMHS RP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Micaela - overall was well prepared with good eye contact
Nandeeni spoke too fast initially however recovered well with
crossfire and summary.
Shannon - good eye contact and spoke well and clearly.
Lindsey - did adequately through out.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	Yucaipa BS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Kambrya Bailey	24	4
2	Baileigh Smith	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS CD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Delaina Castillo	28	1
1	Raquel Deporto	26	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from VMHS CD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg presented more reasonable argument, more data to support their statement, better debating skills, and much better organization.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS RM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Joel Reinecke	29 ^{PID}	2
1	Mortaza Moradi	26	4

NEGATIVE

POS	iPoly KK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
4	Dawson Khoury	28	3
2	Zuhayr Khan	30	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from iPoly KK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Robert Hurtado-Scott

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS KA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Zachary Kirby	26	3
2	Kendal Asprec	25	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa BW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jenna Boyse	28	1
1	Taylor Wood	28	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Yucaipa BW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg won debate based on the questions asked and answered during the debate's crossfire sessions. Neg ~~answered~~ addressed all contentions.

All speakers had good voice tone. The AFF team needs to have more eye contact on Kendal's part and Zachary needs to slow down his reading so he can be more clearly heard.

Neg team needs to be sure they do not give the illusion of taking prep time when not speaking.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa OQ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Callee Olivier	27	1
2	Alexis Quintana	25	3

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS CS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Cristina Castro	25	4
2	Haley Sounier	26	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Yucaipa
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Callee Great intro quote. Slowdown, articulate, read less & make more eye contact during initial speech. To strengthen your evidence, be sure to quote all sources. Excellent questions during cross and great clash! Be specific & avoid pronouns when possible (eg. instead of "she said", say "opposition said"). Strong finish to your speeches.

Cristina You had some good points & I wanted to hear more about them. Some slight modifications to your speaking style may make you a stronger, more credible speaker: no gum, more eye contact, put the pencil down when speaking. Consider avoiding the phrase "everyone knows". Specifically cite your references (eg. "According to a study by Gallup" as opposed to "this paper here"). That lends vastly more credence to your arguments.

Alexis You made an excellent point about sales tax and real estate tax. Very good use of rhetorical questions. Reduce use of pronouns & be specific (see comment above to Callee). Incorporate more evidence and stats and cite every source. Arguments are very philosophical but had no supporting data. Be sure to finish strong - make a closing statement & do not leave the podium until you're done speaking. Good questions during cross.

Haley Good eye contact & gestures & use of road map at the beginning of each speech. You were passionate & convicted which makes you very persuasive. Consider adding more evidence/stats/data and specifically citing every source to add credibility and strengthen the argument. Watch your grammar (slowdown if necessary). Great questions and clash in cross! I liked your intro in the final focus.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	iPoly CK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Danny Chandrahasan	25	3
	Hasan Khan	25	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa RA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ariel Ryther	26	2
	Sunil Alexander	27	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from YUCAIPA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HASAN - You tended to avoid answering direct question & also questioned pertinence of too many pertinent questions. You showed good research, however, left a full minute on table in 2 of your speeches. Do not be afraid to think more on your feet. Engage more. Good Job.

DANNY - You did well, especially with your intro speech, however, seemed to rely on some big assumptions #1 that we, the U.S., can support anyone #2 that governments in various countries are always at fault. Memorize more facts that you can inject into subsequent speeches.

SUNIL - Well thought out arguments that opponents never addressed. Good movement, eye contact w/ judge but not when addressing opponents. Showed indignation well. Made me believe your point more, even though I'm sure you could argue as convincingly on other side.

ARIEL - Good Job! Addressed inconsistencies in opposing arguments right off the bat. Good use of facts, stats, #s, quote, studies, etc. When addressing opponent by saying "you said" should be looking at them, not judge. Smart to utilize your prep time. Good consistent job!

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Alexis Day	28	1
1	Elizabeth Lick	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa CH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jazmin Cepeda	26	3
1	Noah Hurtado	26	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from VMHSDL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christy Reichenbach

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative provided more documented evidence,
and was better able to support their contention

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA
Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chino Hills CN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Joshua Clement	29	
1	Kyle Norris	27	

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa FW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Christopher Fernandes	27	
1	Cody Webb	27	

The better debating was done by the AFF from Chino Hills CN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: A. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

When opp tried to introduce their definition, prop successfully defended theirs; FW did not continue, and finished early.

In rebuttal, FW tried to introduce new information to support their own constructive discussion; CN successfully called them out on it.

When CN did final rebuttal, heated discussion arose between both parties, and they both asked for stopping time - points deducted from both teams for doing so - not allowed

FW retracted all statements made previously trying to introduce new information out of sequence; CN took this and essentially negated FW position.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa HS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Adriana Hernandez	26	3
1	Madison Smith	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS OW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
4	Ashley Orcutt	23	4
2	Liam White	27	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from ADRIANA HERNANDEZ & MADISON SMITH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Madison Smith

- House → U.S. govt, snow → gay rights
- 1900's people/example
- ↑ in acceptance, ↓ in bullying
- used term "warming up"
- brought up pursuit of happiness
- * use all time

Adriana Hernandez

- Great presence
- interracial marriage
- Interrupted many time
- stood up, sat down without talking

* use all time
need more eye contact

■ = AFF

Liam White

- already allowed in 15 states (Good point!)
- religion (good point!)
- tried to explain religious rights
- stated South just passed law 2 yrs ago (Great point!)

* great use of time
eye contact
control breathing

Ashley Orcutt

- used term "no homos"
- Stated "no point in having a debate about this when everyone is already accepting it."
- ex LGBT clubs (Good point!)

* use all time
- need more eye contact
- said "you know" many, many times
- looked at door
- lost train of thought

■ = NEG

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa PB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ashley Pearson	27	
	Brooke Brady	29	

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS LD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Frank Lichorobiec	29	
	Ayham Dahlan	28	

The better debating was done by the Neg from Mr Lichorobiec & Dahlan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Presentation, explanation and justification won the debate by team Negative.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	RHS PD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Maxwell Pereya	30	
	Carolann Duro	30	

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills TP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Steven Tarango	25	
	Akshar Patel	29	

The better debating was done by the AFF from RHS PD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sal Mirabal

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Affirmative~~ Affirmative team was more articulate
had better handle on facts and presented the info
in a professional manner

Room: Q202
Start: ASAP
Open Parliamentary

2

Kerrigan Deborah

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jeff Lambert ¹	24	3
3	Ryan Green ³	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS CL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Matthew Crabtree ²	28	1
4	Gunner Lee ⁴	25	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Deborah Kerrigan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Let it snow, let it snow,
Promote holiday spirit in school.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	RHS HS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Claudia Herbert Shae Salgado	23	4
2	Dejana Saric Carlos Hernandez	25	2

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS MM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Anthony Moring	27	1
1	Domonique Moncada	25	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Shae (1st Pro) - Very short opening, not much content (20-23)

Domonique (1st opp) - Fluid speaker with some pauses (24-26)

Carlos (2nd Pro) - Concise speaker with some facts. (24-26)

Anthony (2nd opp) - Informative speaker. (27-28)
Strong but in need of more content

Rebuttal - Domonique (1st opp) - Not enough closing statements to win strongly on the subject matter.

Carlos - (2nd Pro) -

Very short rebuttal argument to make their point across.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

FIRMATIVE

POS	TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Chino Hills HT		
	Kristina Halverson	29	2
	Tori Tan	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	La Quinta KC		
	Alexander Koken	28	3
	Dominik Corral	28	4

The better debating was done by the

AFF

from

Chino Hills

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Annette Webb

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

Clear Contentions
I liked the contention
to promote procrastination.
and the example of
the Calculus teacher.
I thought you used all
the time allotted &
were very clear. Good job.

neg

I would have liked to
see more rebuttal on
their 3 contentions.
The rebuttal on their
second contention was
strong. You have more time,
use the time to your advantage
to rebut more of their
contentions. Overall
very good. It is always
hard to judge and sometimes
comes down to one or two points

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS JR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Erica Johnson	27	2
2	Brooklyn Richards	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Francis Cyr	26	3
1	Alexis Morales	24	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from VMHS JR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jeff Heitmann

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ERICA:

PROPOSED TOPIC. SPEAKING CLEARLY
BUT A LITTLE FAST. GOOD EYE
CONTACT. PRESENTED MULTIPLE POINTS
OF EVIDENCE WITH GOOD SUPPORTIVE
FACTS. 7:00

BROOKLYN:

REPROPOSED TOPICS ALONG W/
CLARIFYING POINTS OF CONTENTION.
SPEAKS CLEARLY W/ GOOD EYE
CONTACT. REBUTED NEG'S STATEMENTS
ADEQUATELY. TRIED TO ASK A
POINT OF INFORMATION. 6:20

ALEXIS:

SPOKE CLEARLY W/ GOOD EYE CONTACT.
PROVIDED AFF COMMENTS FOR EACH
OF THE CONTENTIONS. SPEAKS
CONVINCINGLY. DID NOT ALLOW
FOR ANY QUESTIONS. 3:50. SPOKE
OUT OF TURN.

FRANCIS:

HAD A TUGH TIME CLARIFYING
HIS POINTS. DID SPEAK SLOWLY
AND CLEARLY W/ GOOD EYE CONTACT.
RAISED POINTS ABOUT THE AFF'S
CONTENTIONS. RECOGNIZED A POI
4:56.

THE AFF PRESENTED A CASE THAT THE NEG WAS NOT PREPARED
FOR, HOWEVER ACCEPTED. THE AFF PRESENTED A SOLID CASE.

I FELT THE NEG IGNORED SOME POINTS OF ~~PRESENT~~ CONTENTION. ALSO

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa LV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brianna Lara	25	
1	Ashley Duke	28	

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta SB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jesse Sanchez	27	
1	Michael Bergh	29	

The better debating was done by the Neg from La quinta SB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Michael
~~Jesse~~ made very clear counter points
Good speaker

Ashley - Great speaker, very clear
Had tough questions, answered quickly +
with examples

Brianna - probably do better speaking without reading
Try bullet points and speak more naturally
~~is~~

Jesse - Good questions point of order/inference

Room: O-6
Start: ASAP
Open Parliamentary

2

Drake James

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	RHS SH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Shae Salgado	29	1
4	Carlos (CJ) Hernandez	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa FS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Corrina Fuller	28	3
2	William Strong	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from RHS SH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Drake James

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF overall was better
- NEG Focused only on the fact that the US doesn't have the money
- AFF proved that their argument was stronger & that the NEG was only helping the AFF

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS AD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Sergio Afonso <i>Graphint</i>	28	1
	Trung Duong	21	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills FS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Saleen Fiaz	26	3
	Grant Scott	26	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: K Edwards

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff.

Sergio-Great presence,
good pace to your speech
not too fast. Keep your
confidence throughout
I felt during the rebuttal
you ended early. I do
agree with the Neg.
you needed a stronger
plan on how the gov.
was going to step in.
As an over taxed
society where would
the extra money come
from?

Trung-Also great
presence up there

Neg.

Grant-First I Admire
what you do coming into
this not knowing what
you will be speaking on.
You had great eye contact
and a great speaking
voice. Watch your time
it was 5:02 and only
1:51 on rebuttal. During
your initial you did not
lay out the Neg's contentions
only argue 2 of the 3
Aff contentions

Saleen: you seemed very

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alessandra Casey ¹	28.5	2
	Marc Mansour ²	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa HB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Amanda Hardy ²	27	4
	Emily Boydston ¹	29	1

The better debating was done by the Aff from La Quinta
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

very good debate By Both sides. Tough one to pick. Was swayed in the end By Alessandra homelessness if we can Rehabililitate prisoners. Neg side 1st speaker Try and watch your catch phrase of using "Em" in speech.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS HM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Tiffany Hoyd	26	4
1	Samuel Mountain	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa BJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Bob Blackmon	29	1
2	Chelsea Ji	27	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from YUCAIPA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jane Halverson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HOYD - GOOD REFUTATIONS, GOOD EYE CONTACT
ONLY USED $\frac{1}{2}$ ALLOTTED TIME

MOUNTAIN - OPENING ARGUMENTS A LITTLE TIMID BUT
FINISHED STRONG IN REBUTTAL. ENUNCIATED
CLEARLY AND MADE GOOD ARGUMENTS. ALMOST
WON DEBATE IN LAST 5 MINUTES

BLACKMON - USED TIME WELL, GOOD USE OF HUMOR
ARGUMENTS WERE SOUND. AT THE END
YOU WERE LOOKING AT TIMEN MORE
THAN CONVINCING JUDGE

Ji - ARGUMENTS SOLID, ADDRESSED POI'S WELL.
MADE GOOD USE OF TIME & RELEVANT POI'S
MAYBE EVEN USED HAND GESTURES - CONTINUAL
HAND MOVEMENT A LITTLE DISTRACTING

OVERALL WIN TO NEGATIVE FOR SPEAKING POINTS,
RELEVANT ARGUMENTS, USE & KNOWLEDGE OF RULES & TIME.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta AB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Natalie Ayala	29	2
1	Blake Burton	30	1

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS AS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
4	Fares Abdullah	24	4
2	Taryn Sturkey	27	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from La Quinta AB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Clarissa Lambert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF:

- Extremely prepared
- Articulate
- strong factual points & rebuttals
- logical arguments, well defended
- addressed opponents contentions thoroughly in rebuttal

NEG:

- Weak / faulty arguments
- Broke etiquette / speaker 4 continuously interrupted and argued. started without asking "judge ready", argued / contradicted his own teammate
- Good sportsmanship demonstrated at end of debate
- used some ~~emotional~~ ad hominem and polarizing argument based on emotion rather than fact
- addressed opponents contentions fairly in rebuttal

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House would let it snow, let it snow, let it snow.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa KH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kaitlin Keller	25	
	Lauren Heitmann	25	

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills TO	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Diane Tsang	28	
	Chinelo Onyiah	27	

The better debating was done by the Neg from Chino Hills
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Brandy Moncada

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Speaker #1 had great eyecontact
and body language

#2 great eyecontact
- very passionate

Please support your case
w/ facts rather than
opinions-

please use more time to
build a stronger case-speech
I had 4:14 left to speak

Speaker #1 + 2 had
good poise and were
calm and ~~very~~ had
a good demeanor-

Neg had a stronger
case.